

\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ TEST.CAS. 18/2013

KAPIL KHANNA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. S.C. Kalra & Ms. Indra Mani,
Advs.

Versus

THE STATE & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Ajay Malhotra & Mr. Deepankar
Kataria, Advs. for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW

ORDER

%

28.11.2019

1. The counsel for the petitioner states that in view of settlement arrived at, the petitioner withdraws the petition.
2. The counsel for the respondent no.2 states that the settlement is under duress.
3. The respondent no.3 is stated to be the father of the petitioner and from the factum of the petitioner, present in Court, stating that he needs to talk to his father, it is evident that there are no differences between the petitioner and the respondent no.3.
4. The counsel for the petitioner states that petitioner will enforce the settlement.
5. The petition is dismissed as withdrawn leaving the parties to bear their own costs.

NOVEMBER 28, 2019

'gsr'

RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J