

\$~68, 69 & 72

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CRL.M.C. 1207/2019 & CRL.M.A. 4722/2019

NIRMAL SINGH LAMBA

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Vasundhara Nayyar, Advocate

versus

S P REALTORS

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Lavnish Kumar, Advocate

+ CRL.M.C. 1225/2019 & CRL.M.A. 4781/2019

NIRMAL SINGH LAMBA

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Vasundhara Nayyar, Advocate

versus

GAGAN PREET SINGH & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Lavnish Kumar, Advocate

+ CRL.M.C. 1203/2019 & CRL.M.A. 4713/2019

NIRMAL SINGH LAMBA

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Vasundhara Nayyar, Advocate

versus

HARLEEN KAUR SACHDEVA & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Lavnish Kumar, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESH KUMAR KAIT

ORDER

%

23.09.2019

Signature Not Verified

Signing Date: 19.10.2024 17:08:57
Certify that the digital and physical file have
been compared and the digital data is as per
the physical file and no page is missing.

CRL.M.As. 4723/2019 & 4782/2019 (Exemption)

Allowed subject to all just exceptions.

**CRL.M.C. 1207/2019, CRL.M.C. 1225/2019 & CRL.M.C.
1203/2019**

Learned counsel for the respondent states at bar that the petitioner did not issue cheque in question. He was not even director at the time of the issuance of cheque. However, inadvertently he is impleaded as party in the complaint pending before the trial court.

Keeping in view the averments made in the present petition and the concession granted by the learned counsel for the respondent, I hereby quash the complaint and consequent proceedings emanating thereto.

The petition is accordingly allowed.



SURESH KUMAR KAIT, J

SEPTEMBER 23, 2019

v