

\$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1141/2019 & CRL.M.A. 4449/2019**

DEVENDER SHARMA & ORS Petitioners

Through: Mr. Naresh Kumar Bansal,
Adv. with the petitioners in
person

versus

STATE (GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI) & ANR Respondents

Through: Ms. Aasha Tiwari, APP with
Insp. K.P. Singh and ASI Raj
Bahadur, PS Model Town, New
Delhi
Mr. Anoop Singh Saroha and
Ms. Shilpi Aggarwal, Advs. for
R-2 with R-2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDER SHEKHAR

ORDER

% **08.04.2019**

CRL.M.A. 4449/2019 (for exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions. Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 1141/2019

1. The petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for quashing of FIR No.624/2005, under Sections 498-A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC'), registered at P.S.: Model Town, New Delhi and the proceedings emanating therefrom.

2. The petitioners and respondent No.2 as well as the learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the parties have settled their disputes on their own free will, without any force or coercion before the Mediation Centre, Rohini District Courts, Delhi vide a Settlement/Agreement dated 12.4.2018, in pursuance whereof, the marriage of the petitioner No.1 and the respondent No.2 stands dissolved vide decree of divorce dated 24.12.2018.

3. Respondent No.2 has reiterated the aforesaid facts and submitted that the petitioners have to pay the balance amount of Rs.4 lacs to her in terms of the settlement arrived at between the parties. Respondent No.2 further submitted that in case the petitioners make the payment of balance amount of Rs.4 lacs to her, she has no objection to the petition being allowed and the FIR being quashed.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have brought a demand draft bearing No.513182 dated 26.2.2019 for an amount of Rs.4 lacs which has been handed over to the respondent No.2 today in the Court. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submitted that in view of the payment of the balance amount to the respondent No.2, the present petition may be allowed and the FIR may be quashed.

5. The Investigating Officer ('IO'), who is present in Court, has identified the petitioners as well as respondent No.2 and has also verified the settlement arrived at between the parties.

6. In view of the aforesaid circumstances and the settlement arrived at between the parties, this Court is of the view that no fruitful

purpose would be served in keeping the parties entangled in the criminal proceedings. Accordingly, in the interest of justice, FIR No.624/2005, under Sections 498-A/406/34 of the IPC, registered at P.S.: Model Town, New Delhi and the proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

7. Petition is disposed of in above terms.

CHANDER SHEKHAR, J

APRIL 08, 2019/rk