

\$~41

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 476/2019**

JYOTI & ANR

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. M. Hasibuddin, Advocate.

versus

THE STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ORS

..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr. Tanuj Bhadana, proxy counsel for
Mr. Avi Singh, ASC with SI Ramesh
Kumar, PS Sultan Puri.
Mr. Rajbir Singh and Ms. Urmila,
Advocate for R-3 and R-4.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER
14.02.2019

%

1. Petitioners who are present in Court state that they are major and have married of their own free will contrary to the wishes of respondent Nos.3 and 4, the parents of petitioner No. 1 thus seek protection.
2. Notice.
3. Learned proxy counsel for learned Additional Standing Counsel accepts notice on behalf of the State.
4. Notice is accepted by respondent Nos. 3 and 4 who are present in Court and are identified by the Investigating Officer.
5. Respondent Nos. 3 and 4 state that petitioner No. 1 is a major and since she has married of her own free will they have no cause of grievance and they will not interfere in her happily married life.

W.P.(CRL) 476/2019

page 1 of 2

6. In view of the statement of respondent Nos. 3 and 4 learned counsel for the petitioners on instructions from the petitioners seeks leave to withdraw the petition. It is clarified that in case there is any specific complaint by the petitioners action thereon be taken in accordance with law by the SHO concerned.

7. Petition is disposed of as withdrawn.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

FEBRUARY 14, 2019
‘yo’