

\$~22

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 446/2019**

EKTA KUMARI & ANR.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Vinod Sehrawat and Mr. H.R. Arya,
Advocates.

Versus

THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Ms. Kamna Vohra, Additional Standing
Counsel for State with SI Ravinder, P.S.
Palam Village.

Mr. Sandeep Kaushik, Advocate for
Respondent No.3 with Respondent No. 3 in
person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAJMI WAZIRI

ORDER

%

26.02.2019

Respondent No.3 – father of petitioner No.1 is present in the Court. He is represented by a counsel. He has been identified by the Investigating Officer.

Respondent No. 3 states that, as petitioner No.1 is his daughter, he would himself be concerned about her safety and security and, therefore, there can be no threat to her; that the marriage is without his consent but it is a choice, she is entitled to make.

In the circumstances, the petition is not pressed any further. It is disposed-off in terms of the above.

NAJMI WAZIRI, J.

FEBRUARY 26, 2019

sb