

\$~35

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 84/2019**

SUMIT GUPTA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Puneet Goel and Mr. Ritika Gupta,
Advocates

versus

**PUNEET KUMAR GOEL , COMMISSIONER SOUTH DELHI
MUNICIPAL CORPORATION & ORS**

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, Advocate for
SDMC with Mr.Hem Kumar, Advocate along with
Mr.Deepak Khosla, EE/MI Central Zone, SDMC.
Mr. Divanshu Lahiry, Adv. for R3/DJB with
Mr.Sumeet Pushkarma, Advocate along with
Mr.Tarique (ZE).

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD GOEL

ORDER

%

04.02.2019

CM No. 5171/2019 (for exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

CONT.CAS(C) 84/2019

2. Notice. Mr.Sabharwal, Advocate accepts notice for R1.

3. Admittedly, a notice has been issued to the petitioner to allow the officials of the respondent to carry out the inspection at the property in question. Mr.Sabharwal, learned counsel for respondent no.1, submits that the inspection shall be carried out by them on 06.02.2019 at 11.00 am. However, Mr.Puneet Goel, Advocate on behalf of the petitioner states that

the petitioner is not available in town till 13.02.2019.

4. As agreed, let the inspection be carried out on 15.02.2019 at 11.00 am.

5. List on 25.02.2019.

FEBRUARY 04, 2019
“shailendra”

VINOD GOEL, J.