

\$~62

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 314/2019

SAURABH GOEL & ORS

..... Petitioners

Represented by: Mr.R.S.Goswami, Mr.Sahil Munjal
and Mr.Varun Mittal, Advocates

versus

THE STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR

..... Respondents

Represented by: Mr.Sanjay Lao, ASC for the State
with ASI Natar Singh, PS Model
Town
Mr.Anuj Jain, Advocate for R-2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER
31.01.2019

%

CrI.M.A.No.2210/2019

Allowed subject to just exceptions.

W.P.(CrI.) No.314/2019

1. By this petition the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.91/2018 under Section 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS Model Town on the complaint of respondent No.2 and the proceedings pursuant thereto on the ground that the parties have settled the matter.
2. Learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State on instructions submits that in the above noted FIR the four petitioners are the only accused and the respondent No.2 is the only complainant/victim.

W.P.(CRL) 314/2019

page 1 of 3

3. Respondent No.2 who is present in Court and is identified by the learned counsel and the Investigating Officer states that she has settled the matter with the petitioners vide settlement agreement dated 3rd October, 2018, copy whereof is annexed as Annexure P-2 to the present petition. In terms of the settlement, petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 along with their twin children are living together in the matrimonial home and respondent No.2 has now no grievance and does not wish to pursue the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. She also undertakes to abide by the terms of settlement arrived at between the parties.

4. Petitioners who are present in Court and are identified by the learned counsel affirm the statement of respondent No.2 and undertake to abide by the terms of settlement arrived at between the parties.

5. In view of the fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, volition and without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

6. Consequently, FIR No.91/2018 under Section 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS Model Town and proceedings pursuant thereto are hereby quashed.

7. Parties have signed this order sheet in acknowledgment of their statements made before this Court.

8. Petition is disposed of. Order dasti.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

JANUARY 31, 2019

mamta