

\$~49

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 284/2019**

RAJNIKANT TIWARI

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Ms.Simantini Paul, Mr.Manish Malik,
Mr.Arun Prakash Rai, Mr.Dinesh
Madesiya and Mr.Jai Subhash
Thakur, Advocates

versus

THE STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ORS

..... Respondents

Represented by: Ms.Nandita Rao, ASC for the State
with PSI Nitesh, PS Kanjhawala

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

%

29.01.2019

CrI.M.A.No.1956/2019

Allowed subject to just exceptions.

W.P.(CrI.) No.284/2019

1. By this petition, the petitioner states that in view of the offence committed FIR No.498/2018 registered at PS Kanjhawala ought not to have been registered simplicitor under Section 323/341/34 IPC but under Section 324 and 342 IPC.
2. Notice.
3. Learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State accepts notice.

W.P.(CRL) 284/2019

page 1 of 2

4. On instructions from the Investigating Officer she states that in view of the weapon of offence allegedly used by the accused, Section 308 IPC has been added in FIR No.498/2018.
5. In view of the statement of learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State, learned counsel for the petitioner on instructions does not press this petition any further.
6. Petition is dismissed as not pressed.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

JANUARY 29, 2019

mamta