

\$~

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

91

+ **W.P.(C) 583/2019 & CM 2597/2019**

MOHD. MUSLIM AND ANR. .... Petitioners

Through: Mr Bahar-u-Barqi, Advocate.

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY AND ORS. .... Respondents

Through: Mr Sanjay Singh, Advocate for DDA.  
Mr Kushagra Pandey, Panel Counsel,  
GNCTD.

Mr. Yeeshu Jain with Ms. Jyoti  
Tyagi, Advocates for the LAC.

Mr Dhanesh Relan, Standing Counsel  
for DDA with Ms Gauri Chaturvedi,  
Advocates.

**CORAM:**

**JUSTICE S.MURALIDHAR**

**JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA**

**ORDER**

% **21.01.2019**

**CM 2598/2019 (exemption)**

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

**W.P.(C) 583/2019 && CM 2597/2019**

2. This petition relate to the acquisition of land on which an entire unauthorized colony is located.

3. Admittedly, the provisional certificate of regularization for the said unauthorized colony has been issued. Copy of the said certificate has also been enclosed with the petition.

4. Apart from the fact that the Petitioners are seeking quashing of the land

acquisition proceedings in which the Award was passed way back on 24<sup>th</sup> February 1984, which prayer is obviously barred by laches. The prayer is any way not tenable in view of the decision dated 10<sup>th</sup> January, 2018 in W.P. (C) 3623/2018 (*Akhil Sibal v. Govt. of NCT of Delhi*) and the decision dated 17<sup>th</sup> January, 2019 in (*Mool Chand v. Union of India*).

5. At this stage, learned counsel for the Petitioners, on instructions, seeks leave to withdraw the petition.

6. The writ petition is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn. The pending application is also dismissed.

7. The dismissal of the writ petition will not come in the way of the Petitioners pursuing their case for regularization of the unauthorized colony in question.

**S. MURALIDHAR, J.**

**SANJEEV NARULA, J.**

**JANUARY 21, 2019**  
*rd*