

\$~19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.L.P. 42/2018**

PREM SINGH

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Kamlesh Kumar and Ms.
Satakashi Verma, Advocates.

versus

TARACHAND & ANR

..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr. Vikram Jit Saini, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

% **07.02.2019**

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though no documentary evidence with the loan transaction was exhibited, however, the petitioner examined CW-3 before whom the loan was advanced to the respondent who also thus corroborated the version of the complainant, however, the learned Trial Court ignored the evidence of the CW-3. Having perused the record, this Court deems it fit to grant leave to appeal.

Petition is disposed of granting leave to appeal.

Crl.A. No. /2019 (to be numbered)

Registry to number the appeal.

Admit.

CRL.L.P. 42/2018

page 1 of 2

List in due course.

Pending hearing of the appeal, respondent No. 1 will furnish a personal bond in the sum of ₹25,000/- with one surety of the like amount, subject to the satisfaction of the Joint Registrar (Appellate) of this Court within four weeks, and in case of change of residential address of the respondent the same will be intimated to this Court by way of an affidavit.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

FEBRUARY 07, 2019
‘yo’