

\$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 196/2019**

SUNEEL SAHNI

..... Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Akhil Sachar, Adv.**

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: **Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Ms.
Radhalakshmi R., Mr. T. Rajat
Krishna, Mr. Saket Chandra & Mr.
Anmol Nag, Advs. for R-1.
Mr. Vipul Kumar, Adv. for R-2.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K.GAUBA

ORDER

%

12.03.2019

Though notice to second respondent has not been returned, it having been sent to be served through District & Sessions Judge at Indore at Madhya Pradesh, he enters appearance through Mr. Vipul Kumar, Advocate, the counsel explaining that information about the notice being issued in these proceedings was conveyed at the time of hearing before the Inquiring Magistrate. On his request, the counsel for the petitioner has supplied copy of the petition to the counsel for second respondent. The second respondent may file his response within two weeks.

Be listed on 9th May, 2019.

R.K.GAUBA, J

MARCH 12, 2019/nk