

\$~32

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 280/2019**

GAGAN SACHDEVA

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms Priya Kumar and Mr Tejas
Chhabra, Advocates.

versus

GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI
AND ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr Sumit Jidani, Advocate for R-1.
Ms Shobhna Takiar, Advocate for
DDA.
Ms Deepali Gupta, Advocate for
DSI IDC

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

ORDER

% **22.05.2019**

1. The controversy involved in the present petition is covered by the decision of this Court rendered today in W.P.(C) 274/2019 captioned '*Kamal Chaudhary v. Government of NCT of Delhi and Ors.*'.
2. For the reasons stated therein, the decision of DSI IDC to reject the petitioner's request for conversion of the property in question from leasehold to freehold cannot be faulted. However, DSI IDC is directed to refund the conversion charges collected by it, along with simple interest at the rate of 9% per annum, from the date of receipt till the date of repayment.
3. The petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

MAY 22, 2019/RK