

\$~27.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.APP.(C) 14/2019**

M/S SHIVNATH RAI HARANARAIN (INDIA) LTD..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Prateek Kumar, Advocate.

versus

ANUP WADHAWAN & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Vinod Divaker, CGSC with
Ms.Bakshi Vinita, G.P for respondent
No. 1/ UOI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER

%

15.11.2019

C.M. No. 48898/2019

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The application stands disposed of.

CONT.APP.(C) 14/2019

When the decision of the Supreme Court in *D.N. Taneja Vs. Bhajan Lal*, (1988) 3SCC 26, was brought to the notice of learned counsel for the appellant – which holds that an appeal under Section 19 of the Contempt of Courts Act would be maintainable only when the High Court in exercise of its jurisdiction under Article 215 imposes punishment on the contemnor and not otherwise, learned counsel for the appellant, on instructions, seeks leave to withdraw the present appeal to avail such other remedy as may be

available in law.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

VIPIN SANGHI, J

SANJEEV NARULA, J

NOVEMBER 15, 2019

kd