

\$~43

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 13096/2019 & CM APPL. 53391/2019**

KISHAN LAL JEWELS PVT. LTD.

..... Petitioner

Through:

versus

ASST. COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX Respondent

Through: Ms. Vibhooti Malhotra and
Mr. Shailendera Singh, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER

% **18.12.2019**

1. None appears for the Petitioner when the matter was called out. Learned counsel for the Respondent who appears on advance notice states that the proceedings under Section 147/148 of the IT Act which are impugned in the present petition has been withdrawn in view of the subsequent communication received by the Respondent from the UAE Revenue Authorities to FT & TR, who stated that the two entities with whom the Petitioner had two business transactions were indeed genuine existing companies.

2. In view of the aforesaid, the petition is dismissed as infructuous.

VIPIN SANGHI, J

SANJEEV NARULA, J

DECEMBER 18, 2019/nk