

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-2526-2019

Date of Decision:-24.12.2019

POOJA RANI AND ANOTHER

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. Pooja Rani aged 22 years and Gurudat Singh aged 20 years have approached this Court seeking protection of their lives as they apprehend threat to the same, having married against the wishes of their parents and relatives.
2. At this stage, Mr. Manoj Sharma, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of respondent Nos.4, to 6 and has filed Vakalatnama, which is taken on record. Respondent Nos.4 to 6 are also present in person.
3. The learned counsel for the private respondents has requested that respondent Nos.4 to 6 may be permitted to meet petitioner No.1-Pooja Rani for a short while.
4. Acceding to the aforesaid request, aforesaid respondent Nos.4 to 6 were permitted to have a word with petitioner No.1-Pooja Rani for a short

while, outside the Court room. After they came back, respondent Nos.4 to 6 were advised not to cause any harm to the petitioners and not to take law in their hands.

5. The learned counsel for the respondents has assured that respondent Nos. 4 to 6 or any of her relatives will not harm the petitioners in any manner.
6. While refraining from making any expression as regards the veracity of the averments made in the petition, the petition is disposed of with a direction that in case the petitioners approach the police authorities concerned by way of filing a detailed representation with regard to their alleged threat perception, the same shall be got examined by Superintendent of Police, Karnal (respondent No.2), expeditiously in accordance with law and necessary steps as may be warranted under the facts and circumstances of the case be taken thereupon forthwith.
7. A copy of this order be sent to Superintendent of Police, Karnal (respondent No.2), so as to enable him to do the needful expeditiously.
8. It is however, clarified that the aforesaid order shall not be construed to be an expression as regards validity of the alleged marriage of the parties.

24.12.2019

Gaurav Sorot

(Gurvinder Singh Gill)
Judge

Whether speaking /reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable Yes / No