

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

114

CRWP-2599-2019

Date of Decision: 30.12.2019.

Vandana and another

....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Davinder Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Suvir Sehgal, J. (Oral)

Vandana (petitioner No.1) claims to be 17 years and 10 months and Baljinder Dass (petitioner No.2) claims to be 29 years of age. They have pleaded that they have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 and 5 and seek protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 and 5. They have placed on record photographs, Annexure P-3, claiming that they married on 19.12.2019, but the Mandir did not issue any Marriage Certificate. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 19.12.2019, Annexure P-4 to Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has relied upon the judgment of this Court in case of **Neelam Rani and another vs. State of Haryana and others, 2011(1) R.C.R. (Civil) 636**, to submit that the girl in the said case was also more than 17 years of age and had been granted protection by this Court.

Accordingly, this petition is disposed of in terms of order passed by this Court in *Neelam Rani and another case (Supra)* with directions that in case the petitioners approach to respondents No. 2 and 3 setting out their grievance as are mentioned herein, the same shall be duly considered and looked into by any of them in accordance with law.

It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of this Court for the marriage of the petitioners.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

30.12.2019

komal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/ No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/ No