

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

112

CRM-M-55399-2019

Date of decision: 23.12.2019

Sonu Singh

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Girish Agnihotri

Present: Mr. Prateek Pandit, Advocate
for the petitioner.

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

The Present petition has been filed by the petitioner/Sonu Singh, *inter alia* with the prayer to grant him anticipatory bail in case FIR No.267 dated 27.11.2018 u/s 22 of NDPS Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Kotwali, Kapurthala, District Kapurthala.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Ms. Anju Arora, Addl. A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent/State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that vide order dated 25.01.2019 (Annexure P-2), passed by the Judge, Special Court, Kapurthala, the petitioner was granted interim bail. Thereafter, the petitioner has been regularly appearing before the learned trial Court on subsequent dates i.e. 05.02.2019, 20.02.2019, 06.03.2019 and 03.04.2019. Copies of which are annexed along with the petition as Annexure P-3 (Colly). He further

submits that as of now, he does not have any document to support, however, it was primarily for the reason that the petitioner himself was getting treatment from a Drug De-addiction Centre that he could not appear before the trial Court on 22.04.2019. It is further submitted that now, vide order dated 22.04.2019, the learned Judge, Special Court, Kapurthala, has ordered that he be summoned through non-bailable warrants of arrest. Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes that he shall make the petitioner appear before the Sessions Court, Kapurthala on 06.01.2020.

In peculiar facts and circumstances as narrated above, this Court deems it appropriate to grant interim protection till 06.01.2020 to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. The petitioner is also directed to join the investigation and cooperate with the Investigating Agency, as and when required. It is made clear that the petitioner would abide by further orders passed by the Sessions Court on the date fixed.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of.

(GIRISH AGNIHOTRI)
JUDGE

23.12.2019
anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No