

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-55948-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 03.04.2019

Vikrant

...Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Jasdeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Vij, Addl. P.P., U.T., Chandigarh.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

At the very outset, learned counsel, appearing for the U.T., Chandigarh, submits that prosecution evidence is concluded and the case is now fixed for defence evidence.

Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not press the instant petition, however, a direction may be issued to the trial Court to conclude the trial expeditiously.

The petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

The trial Court is directed to conclude the trial as soon as possible.

03.04.2019

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*