

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

116

CRWP-2455-2019

Date of decision: 23.12.2019

Kajal and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Girish Agnihotri

Present: Mr. Vijay Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

The Present petition has been filed by the petitioners/Kajal and Vijay Kumar, *inter alia* praying for directions to the official respondents No.1 to 3 for protection of the life and liberty of the petitioners and not to interfere in their peaceful life at the behest of respondents No.4 & 5.

It is the pleaded case of the petitioners that they have performed their marriage on 18.12.2019. It is submitted that respondents No.4 & 5 are against the said marriage and therefore, the petitioners have apprehension that respondents No.4 & 5 might cause physical harm to them.

Counsel for the petitioners submits that on 18.12.2019, they have submitted a join representation to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur.

Issue notice to official respondents No.1 to 3.

On asking of the Court, Ms. Anju Arora, Addl. A.G., Punjab,

accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Keeping in view the mandate of Supreme Court in the case of *“Lata Singh vs. State of U.P. and another,” JT 2006(6) SC 173*, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur, to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of this Court about the marriage of the parties and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

(GIRISH AGNIHOTRI)
JUDGE

23.12.2019
anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No