

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM-18600-2018 in/and RA-CW-402-2018 in
CWP No.22193 OF 2018
DATE OF DECISION : 11th JANUARY, 2019**

Krishan Kumar Bansal

.... Petitioner

Versus

The Punjab and Haryana High Court & another

.... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL**

* * * *

Present : Mr. Puneet Jindal, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Raghav Kakkar, Advocate
for Advocate for the applicant-appellant.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (Oral)

CM-18600-2018

For reasons mentioned in the application, which is accompanied by affidavit, delay of 56 days in filing the appeal is condoned.

RA-CW-402-2018 in CWP-22193-2018

Learned counsel for the review-petitioner vehemently argued that while rendering the judgment under review, this Court tangently referred to the service record while deciding the petition which was not the subject matter of the Full Court resolution as well as the notification consequently issued. With his assistance we have gone through the resolution of the Full Court which decided to retire the petitioner. Upon perusal of the Full Court resolution we find that the Full

Court itself referred to the entire service record. That record is produced by the petitioner in the petition.

Since the said service record of the petitioner has been considered by us, we do not find any ground to review the judgment and order dated 04.09.2018. In that view of the matter, the review application stands dismissed.

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

11th January, 2019
'raj'

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE