

(138) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.37339 of 2019

Date of decision: 20.12.2019

Randeep ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and anr. ... Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Bhisham Kumar Majoka, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. WALIA, J. (ORAL)

[1] Grievance of the petitioner is that as per the key-answers uploaded by the Commission, the petitioner is entitled to award of 47.2 marks whereas the petitioner has only been awarded 41.6 marks.

[2] Learned counsel contends that the petitioner submitted a representation, Annexure P-6 dated 06.03.2019 to respondent No.2 in order to verify the discrepancy and to award the proper marks to the petitioner as per the key-answers sheet uploaded by the Commission but no decision has been taken in respect thereto till date. Learned counsel states that in the circumstances, the petitioner would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation, Annexure P-6 dated 06.03.2019 in accordance with law in a time bound manner.

[3] Notice of motion to respondent No.2 only.

[4] Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana accepts notice and states that he has no objection to the limited prayer of the learned counsel for the petitioner.

[5] Without commenting upon the merits of the claim or the entitlement of the petitioner to the relief claimed, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation, Annexure P-6 dated 06.03.2019 in accordance with law after taking into account all aspects of the matter within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

20.12.2019
amit

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No.