

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

104

Crl. Misc. No.M- 54413 of 2019

Date of Decision:- 19.12.2019

Ravi Kumar

....Petitioner

vs.

State of U.T., Chandigarh

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Gautam Diwan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.396 dated 3.11.2019 registered at Police Station, Sector 39, Chandigarh, under Sections 147, 148, 149, 323, 307 and 452 IPC.

According to the FIR, the petitioner and his co-accused attacked the complainant and her family members with brick-bats and iron rods resulting in serious injuries.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that from a bare perusal of the FIR, no offence is made out. He further submits that a cross-FIR viz. FIR No.397 dated 4.11.2019 has been registered at the same police station against the complainant party. In fact, the complainants were the aggressors and they inflicted serious injuries on the accused of the present FIR. Reference is made to photographs-Annexure P-3. It is, thus, submitted that the petitioner may be granted anticipatory bail.

Whatever may in fact be the true story, it is clear that there

has been a fight between neighbours. Serious injuries have been inflicted on both sides and property has been damaged. People cannot be permitted to take law in their own hands to settle their private dispute and, thus, such incidents need to be discouraged. A wrong signal would be sent to the society in case the petitioner and his co-accused are granted anticipatory bail.

Thus, there is no merit in the petition and the same is dismissed.

December 19, 2019**poonam****(SUDHIR MITTAL)****JUDGE****Whether Speaking/Reasoned****Yes****Whether Reportable****No**