

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-37141-2019

Date of Decision:-20.12.2019.

Satinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: Mr. Baltej Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

SANJAY KUMAR, J. (Oral)

The prayer of the petitioner, a member of the legal fraternity, is
as follows:-

*“Civil Writ Petition under Articles 226/227
of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, in the
nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to
consider and decide the legal notice dated 1.11.2019
(Annexure P-4), with a further direction to register an
FIR against the guilty persons on the basis of Vigilance
Inquiry report No.3 dated 4.4.2018 (P-1) and complete
the investigation in a time bound manner;*

*Mandamus directing the respondents to
recover the financial loss caused to the State Exchequer
from the guilty persons concerned in the scam.”*

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, learned Assistant Advocate General,
Punjab, would point out that the vigilance inquiry which is relied upon by

the petitioner, was not at his instance or initiation and was an independent inquiry. He would further point out that the petitioner has no personal cause in the matter and appears to be litigating in public interest.

If that be so, it is for the petitioner to file a public interest litigation in accordance with the norms prescribed by this Court. This writ petition, as framed, is not maintainable.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed *in limine* leaving it open to the petitioner to file a public interest litigation in accordance with law, if so advised.

No order as to costs.

(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE

December 20, 2019.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.