

Ramesh Chander and others

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Ashok Kumar Khunger, Advocate
for the petitioners

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that respondent No. 5 alongwith her brother and parents have occupied residential house of the petitioners. An application under Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizen Act, 2007 has been filed before the Deputy Commissioner for taking back possession and now the private respondents are threatening the petitioners on account of filing of the said application. Thus, they fear for their lives and liberty. A detailed representation dated 15.10.2019 (Annexure P-3) has been made in this regard but no action has been taken thereupon till date.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 4 only.

Mr. Prabhjot Singh Walia, AAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 4. Let five copies of the petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to serve the private respondents or to seek reply from the official respondents.

The petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No. 2 to objectively consider the representation dated 15.10.2019 (Annexure P-3) and to

take appropriate action thereupon in accordance with law, in case it is found necessary, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

December 19, 2019

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No