

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Writ Petition No. 2322 of 2019
Date of Decision: December 19, 2019

Seema and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Ms. Gurmeet Kaur, Advocate for the petitioners

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioners allege that they have performed their marriage against the wishes of their parents and thus, they fear for their lives and liberty. A representation dated 08.12.2019 (Annexure P-5) has been submitted to respondent No. 2 but he has not taken action thereupon.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3. Let four copies of the paper book be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Considering the nature of the order being passed there is no necessity to serve the private respondents or to seek a reply from any one of the respondents.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to take into consideration the request of the petitioners made vide representation dated 08.12.2019 (Annexure P-5) and to provide necessary protection in case the facts of the case so dictate.

It is made clear that this order is not a bar on initiation of any proceedings in accordance with law nor is it an expression of opinion regarding the validity or otherwise of the marriage.

December 19, 2019

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No