

Sr. No. 235

IOIN-CRM-M No. 40971 OF 2018

Jyoti and anr vs State of Haryana & others

Present : Mr. Sandeep Saini, Advocate
for petitioner No.2.

Dr. Sushil Gautam, DAG Haryana

Affidavit of petitioner No.2 and reply by way of an affidavit of
DSP Kanina on behalf of the respondents have been filed.

While disposing of this case, a direction had been given to
petitioner No.2 to make a fixed deposit of ₹ 2 lakhs in the name of
petitioner No.1 for a period of three years. In both the affidavit as well as
the reply, it has been mentioned that actually petitioner No.1 has now
abandoned petitioner No.2 and has rather filed a petition for judicial
separation. In the circumstances, no further action need be taken.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

March 27, 2019.
'kk'