

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.36878 of 2019
Date of Decision : 19.12.2019.

Ram Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S.Walia

Present: Mr. Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner has filed appeals Annexure P/4 (colly) dated 30.07.2014, against 54 different orders imposing punishment of fine but the said appeals have not been decided till date and in the circumstances, the petitioner would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of at this stage by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the appeals Annexure P/4 (colly) dated 30.07.2014, in accordance with law, in a time bound manner.

2. Notice of motion. Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and states that he has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide appeals Annexure P/4 (colly) dated 30.07.2014, in accordance

with law after taking into account all aspects of the matter, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE

19.12.2019.
rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No