

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.36757 of 2019

Date of Decision : 18.12.2019.

Bhim Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S.Walia

Present: Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate for the petitioners.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the writ petition is for the issuance of a writ of Mandamus for directing the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioners with effect from the date of initial appointment and to grant them arrears and other consequential benefits.

2. Learned counsel contends that although the petitioners have served legal notice Annexure P/2 dated 09.04.2019, in respect of the grievance which is the subject matter of the instant writ petition but the claim in the same has not been decided till date and that in the circumstances the petitioners would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of at this stage by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim as made in representation Annexure P/2 dated 09.04.2019 in accordance with law in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion. Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and states that he has no

objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

4. Without commenting on the merits of the claim or entitlement of the petitioners to the relief claimed, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim as made in representation Annexure P/2 dated 09.04.2019, after taking into account all aspects of the matter, within four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE

18.12.2019.

rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No