

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

117

CRM-M-54219-2019

Date of Decision:18.12.2019

Neelam Kumari

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present: Mr. Joginder Pal Devgan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., so as to issue direction to respondent Nos.1 to 3 to take appropriate legal action against private respondent Nos.5 to 12.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner is married wife of respondent No.5-Santokh Singh alias Santokh alias Kapil son of Jaspal Kumar and the marriage was solemnized on 25.04.2016, which was duly registered with Gurdawara Baba Deep Singh Ji Shaheed, Patti Road, Bhikhiwind, District Tarn Taran. He refers to the photographs in support of his contention that the petitioner was married with respondent No.5-Santokh Singh alias Santokh alias Kapil. However, during subsistence of marriage with the petitioner, respondent No.5 has solemnized second marriage with respondent No.6. Petitioner has been given beatings by respondent No.5 and his other family members. She has a small son of

with her son has filed a petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C. for grant of maintenance, but respondent No.5 is avoiding appearance in that case despite having been served. It has become difficult for the petitioner and her son to survive, highlighting the fact that respondent No.5 has solemnized second marriage during subsistence of marriage with her (petitioner). He is not paying maintenance to the petitioner. She has moved a representation dated 06.09.2019 (Annexure P-8), but no action was taken thereon.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 5 only at this stage.

On asking of this Court, Mr. Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of official respondent Nos.1 to 5.

Let requisite number of copies of paper-book be given to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

Heard.

Lest it may prejudice the interest of the parties, without observing anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran, to have a glance on the representation dated 06.09.2019 (Annexure P-8) submitted by the petitioner and to act in accordance with law.

December 18, 2019
seema

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No