

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.37107 of 2019

Date of Decision : 19.12.2019.

Bala

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S.Walia

Present: Mr. Sharnav Katyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the writ petition is for the issuance of a writ of Mandamus for directing the respondents to consider the RTI reply dated 26.03.2019, whereby thumb impressions of the petitioner duly matched with that on OMR and to drop the departmental proceedings as has been done in respect of similarly situated candidates.

2. Learned counsel contends that the petitioners has submitted representation Annexure P/7 dated 09.10.2019, to respondent No.2, in respect of the grievance which is the subject matter of the instant writ petition but the same has not been decided till date and that in the circumstances the petitioner would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of at this stage by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide representation Annexure P/7 dated 09.10.2019, in accordance with law in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion. Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and states that he has no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. Without commenting upon the merits of the case or the entitlement of the petitioner to the relief claimed, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide representation Annexure P/7 dated 09.10.2019, in accordance with law after taking into account all aspects of the matter, within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE

19.12.2019.

rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No