

**104 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-2297-2019(O&M)
Date of decision-20.12.2019**

Sukhbir

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ARCHANA PURI**

Present: Mr. Ravinder Bangar, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Vivek Saini, D.A.G., Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release the petitioner on parole for a period of six weeks for agriculture purpose for sowing and maintaining the wheat crop.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that petitioner has made a representation dated 22.10.2019 before respondent No.4-Superintendent of Jail, District Karnal for agriculture purpose but copy of the same has not been retained by the petitioner. In view of the above, the same could not be considered. There is no certainty about the facts and submissions made by the petitioner in the representation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if the present petition be treated as representation and a

direction is issued to respondent No.5-District Magistrate, Karnal to consider and decide the same expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr. Vivek Saini, D.A.G., Haryana in the Court today.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.5-District Magistrate, Karnal to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner by treating the present petition as representation in accordance with law within three weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the request made by the petitioner is admissible, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him within three weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the request made by the petitioner is not admissible, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

(ARCHANA PURI)
JUDGE

20.12.2019

ps-I

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No