

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.62019 of 2018

Date of decision: 28th February, 2019

Saurabh Khanna

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Petitioner in person along with his counsel
Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate.

Mr. Ripudaman, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent No.1/State.

Respondent No.2 in person along with her counsel
Ms. Ishma Randhawa, Advocate.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Report dated 07.01.2019 of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Amritsar has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Navroop Khanna and the accused namely Saurabh Khanna the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Moreover, during the course of interaction with the parties, they have shown their resolve to end the dispute and have made statements that they have compromised the matter as per settlement/agreement (Annexure P2) dated 11.12.2018. Complainant

respondent No.2 Navroop Khanna has stated that the settlement amount in lumpsum for all claims arising out of this matrimonial alliance including permanent alimony has been settled at Rs.20.00 crores out of which she has received an amount of Rs.5.00 crores and the remaining amount would be received by her at the time of settlement to be recorded in second motion in petition under Section 13-B of Hindu Marriage Act for mutual divorce. Accused petitioner Saurabh Khanna has given consent to this arrangement and undertakes to abide by the same and pay the balance amount as stated by the complainant. The parties have further made statement that in case any of the parties backs out of their commitment, the other party reserves right to rake up the plea afresh.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court and the fact that the dispute between the parties being a pure matrimonial and the offenses for which the accused has been hauled up are not of serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.0013 dated 27.04.2018 under Sections 380, 201, 494, 427, 506

IPC pertaining to Police Station Airport, District Police Commissionerate Amritsar and all consequences arising out of the said FIR qua the petitioner are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

February 28, 2019
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No