

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.54896 of 2018

Date of Decision: 18.03.2019

Raj Kumar @ Raj Janagal

....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Navraj Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

As per Office report, respondent No.2 has not been served for want of process fee.

However, learned State counsel submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and the compromise is also there between him and respondent No.2.

In view of the above, the interim order dated 13.12.2018 passed in favour of the petitioner is made absolute.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

18.03.2019

gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No