

216
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-31270-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 16.7.2019

Rakesh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Vashisth, DAG, Haryana.

KULDIP SINGH, J.

Petitioner seeks six weeks agricultural parole and further challenging the order dated 21.8.2018 (Annexure P-1), passed by Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak.

Heard.

A perusal of the impugned order dated 21.8.2018 (Annexure P-1), shows that father of the petitioner has only 120 square yard of land in his name but the same is also given on lease.

I am of the view that 120 square yard land can at the most be for the kitchen garden and not for the agricultural purposes. Even the said land is not with the father of the petitioner. Hence, the agricultural parole was rightly declined by the Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak.

No ground is made out to release the petitioner on parole.

Dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

16.7.2019
preeti