

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

229)

Crl. Misc. No.M-53910 of 2019

Date of Decision: 20.12.2019

Johny

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. APS Gill, DAG, Punjab

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

By this petition, filed under the provisions of Section 439

Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks the concession of 'regular bail'.

Though learned counsel for the petitioner has strenuously argued that the petitioner is being falsely implicated in all the cases registered against him, with him living 100 meters away from the police station, learned State counsel on instructions from the police official who is present in court to assist him, submits that there are 22 other cases registered against him, though some of them have been registered under the provisions of the Public Gambling Act, 1867 and other under the provisions of the Punjab Excise Act, 1914.

Keeping in view the above but without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, I see no reason to entertain this petition at this stage.

Dismissed.

20.12.2019
vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No