

231

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.16702 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: February 08, 2019

Hardeep Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present: Mr. Kamalpreet Bawa, Advocate for
Mr. H.S. Brar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arpinder S. Sidhu, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Y.K. Aneja, Advocate for respondents No.6 to 33.

Mr. J.C. Dhaliwal, Advocate for respondent No.34.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is aggrieved by the action of bifurcation. He submits that the petitioner has made representation (Annexure P-6). He further submits that the same has not yet been decided.

We are of the view that the present case is covered by the decision of the Division Bench of this Court in the case of **Punjab Pradesh Congress Committee versus State of Punjab and others**, CWP No.8290 of 2013, decided on 13.06.2013 and hence, we make the following order:-

ORDER

- (i) CWP No.16702 of 2018 is disposed of with a direction to the Director General, Rural Development and Panchayats, Punjab to

decide the above representation (Annexure P-6) of the petitioner after hearing him and the concerned parties, if any, and pass a speaking order, within a period of six months from today.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

February 08, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No