

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.31293 of 2018
DATE OF DECISION : 7th FEBRUARY, 2019

Kanwar Pal Singh

.... **Petitioner**

Versus

State of Punjab & others

.... **Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

* * * *

Present : Mr. K.B.S. Mann, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (Oral)

Rule.

Heard forthwith with consent of counsel for the rival parties.

It is not in dispute that the grievance made by the petitioner in this writ petition has not been adjudicated by the competent authority, despite the judgment of the Division Bench of this Court in the case of ***Punjab Pradesh Congress Committee versus State of Punjab and others***, in ***CWP No.8290 of 2013*** passed on 13.06.2013.

In our opinion, though the elections of the Gram Panchayat have been completed, the issue/grievance of the petitioner can still be adjudicated by the appropriate authority. We think it would be appropriate for the authority to decide the grievances by passing a speaking order after granting opportunity of hearing.

In that view of the matter, we direct respondent No.2 to hear this matter and pass speaking order on representation (Annexure P-4). Needless to say that the contesting party in the present petition would

also be heard by respondent No.2 within a period of six months from today.

Copy of this order shall be placed before respondent No.2 by the petitioner.

The Rule stands disposed of with the direction as aforesaid.

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

7TH FEBRUARY, 2019
‘raj’

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>