

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-61787-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision : 02.05.2019

Rajni Kant and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramdeep Pratap Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Varun Sharma, Advocate for respondent Nos.4 to 8.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Present petition was filed with following prayers:-

“It is therefore respectfully prayed that the directions be issued to respondents no.1 to 3 for protection of life, liberty and property of the petitioners, which is in danger at the hands of the respondents No.4 to 9 as well as local police officials, who are continuously harassing the petitioners by making one pretext to another, despite of direction of this Hon'ble Court, the police is avoiding action against the pvt. Respondents and they are filing false complaint to police alleging false allegation.

It is also prayed that the entire episode matter may kindly be inquired by any independent agency to bring out the truth and grievances of the petitioner, in the interest of justice.

It is further prayed that direction be issued to respondent No.2 to club together all the complaints filed by pvt. respondents and not to harassed the petitioners by

calling false and fabulous complaint filed by the Pvt. respondents and stop further proceedings of malicious complaint against petitioners, because the those complaints are counter blast of order dated 30.10.2018 passed by this Hon'ble Court in CRM-M-43826 of 2018 (Annexure P/5) in the interest of justice.

It is also prayed that any other order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit in the facts and circumstances of the case may kindly be issued in favours of the petitioners, in the interest of justice.

It is further prayed that exemption from filing the certified copies of Annexures may kindly be granted, however true typed/translated copies of the same are annexed herewith, in the interest of justice.”

Learned counsel appearing for the State of Punjab as well as counsel for respondent Nos.4 to 8 have submitted that the parties have entered into a settlement.

Counsel for the petitioners is not present.

In view of the statement made, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to move an application for revival if the facts are otherwise.

02.05.2019

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No