

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-36586-2019 (O & M)

Date of decision: 19.12.2019

Sunita Litani

.... Petitioner(s)

V/s

State of Haryana and ors.

...Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH**

Present: Mr. Rajesh Khandelwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Pannu, DAG, Haryana.

RAJAN GUPTA, J. (Oral)

Notice, in this case, was issued on 17.12.2019 on the limited question. Arguments raised before this court is that distribution of population during delimitation has not been equitable as per Rule 7 of the Delimitation Rules, 1977, variation being beyond 10% above or below the average population per ward.

Pursuant to said order, Director, Urban Local Bodies, Haryana, Panchkula, is present in court. Mr. Pannu has sought instructions from him and addressed the court. He has referred to a summary of proposed wards of Municipal Committee, Uklana which is likely to be the basis for the forthcoming Municipal elections. He has referred to wards by way of total population given therein. According to him, a perusal thereof would show that variation of maximum/minimum population in every ward is not more than 10%. The document is taken on record.

In view of the above, we find no ground to interfere in the writ jurisdiction. This petition is hereby dismissed. If there is any other grouse apart from the above, petitioner shall be at liberty to make a representation to the respondents, which shall be looked into.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

December 19, 2019
sukhpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No