

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-43460 of 2018
Date of Decision : 29.04.2019**

Sumesh Gupta

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Satya Dev Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satish Saini, DAG, Haryana

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J.(Oral)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 40 dated 19.04.2018, under Sections 25 of the Arms Act and 120-B, 406, 420, 452, 467, 468, 471 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Mansa Devi Complex, Panchkula.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations against the petitioner, who was the trustee of Goushala, are that he had illegally appointed six persons in the Goushala. He, however, contends that the persons, appointed by the petitioner did not actually join the Goushala. He also contends that the FIR is an outcome of rivalry between the two groups of trustees of the Goushala. He further contends that vide order dated 28.03.2019 passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court, the petitioner has joined investigation.

CRM No. M-43460 of 2018

-2-

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Suraj Mal, states that although the petitioner has joined investigation but his custodial interrogation is necessary to go to the root of the matter.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner especially when the petitioner has joined investigation, interim order dated 28.03.2019 granting ad-interim bail to the petitioner is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

April 29, 2019
dinesh

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes
Whether reportable? No