

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-48996-2018 O&M)

Date of decision : 01.02.2019

Balbir @ Bali

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Abhishek Sonkar, Advocate,
and Mr. Rajkumar Chauhan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.K. Saini, AAG, Haryana,
assisted by ASI Ram Niwas.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner seeks bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in FIR No.141 dated 06.05.2011, registered under Sections 114, 323, 325, 302, 307, 109 and 148 read with Section 149 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959, at P.S. Kalanaur, District Rohtak.

Heard.

Considering the nature of offence and the role attributed to the petitioner, no case for grant of regular bail is made out.

However, vide order dated 20.04.2018, passed in CRM-M-42464-2017, the petitioner was granted interim bail for a period of six months from the date of his release. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner is more than 77 years of age and is suffering from various age-related ailments.

Vide order dated 24.01.2019, the State was directed to file a specific affidavit reflecting therein whether the bail was ever misused by the petitioner. In compliance thereof affidavit of the DSP, Rohtak, dated 29.01.2019, has been filed in the Court wherein, it has been stated that the petitioner did not misuse the concession of interim bail granted vide order dated 20.04.2018.

Considering the above, this Court is inclined to release the petitioner on interim bail for a period of one year subject to the conditions as reflected in order dated 20.04.2018, passed in CRM-M-42464-2017 (Annexure P-8). The date of release and surrender shall be indicated by the competent releasing Court.

Disposed of in the above terms.

01.02.2019
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No