

**208 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-53586-2019
Date of decision-20.12.2019**

Hardeep Singh **....Petitioner**
Vs.

State of Punjab **...Respondent**

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Liaqat Ali, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, DAG, Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.47 dated 02.03.2019 under Sections 325, 326, 341, 307, 148 and 120-B read with Section 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Division No.6, District Ludhiana. The petitioner is in custody since his arrest on 27.09.2019.

The FIR was registered on the statement of Sushil Kumar Goel, wherein it was alleged that complainant used to work as Clerk (Munshi) with Advocate Kulwinder Singh, Bar Room No.1002 in the Courts. On 02.3.2019, when he alongwith his friend Ishwar Singh, on his Activa scooter reached at the office of ADCP-2, Sherpur with regard to a complaint moved by him, there, the opposite party Gaurav and Deepak Kumar were also present. After attending the office for some time, when they both were returning, then six persons on three motor-cycles encircled them, who were armed with Kirpan, Datar, Baseball bat and other sharp edged weapons, gave injuries to the complainant. On raising noise, when the people started

gathering there, the accused persons fled away with their respective weapons.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. It is pointed out that there is no other case against the petitioner. He further invited the attention of the Court to Annexures P-4 to P-8 i.e. the orders passed by this Court to contend that concession of pre arrest bail and regular bail has already been granted to co-accused. Learned counsel states that challan qua the petitioner stands filed and further trial of the case may consume more time, therefore, further custody of the petitioner may not be required.

On the other hand, learned State counsel who is assisted by ASI Sethi Kumar opposed the prayer. However, it is not disputed that there is no other case against the petitioner. It is apprised by learned State counsel that challan qua the petitioner stands filed on 14.10.2019.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, this Court is of the opinion that trial of the case is likely to consume considerable time, therefore, further detention of the petitioner may not be justified. Resultantly, without meaning any expression of opinion on the merits of the case, it is ordered that the petitioner be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing requisite bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court concerned.

The petition is allowed.

20.12.2019

vanita

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes No

Whether Reportable :

Yes No