

211 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-61816-2018
Date of decision-05.02.2019

Parveen and another

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Sanjay Vashisth, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana assisted by
ASI Raj Kumar.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.658 dated 05.09.2018 registered under Sections 323, 341 and 506 read with Section 34 of Indian Penal Code (Section 307 IPC added lateron) registered at Police Station Sadar, Bhiwani (Haryana).

On 20.12.2018, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners have been shown as an accomplice to the main accused, namely, Sandeep who had caused injury on the vital part of the person of complainant, namely, Hari Ram. The only attribution against them is that they had given a danda blow on the non-vital part on the person of the complainant. Besides, the petitioners were granted regular bail as the FIR was initially recorded for the offences punishable under Sections 323, 324 and 506

of Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion for 05.02.2019.

Meanwhile, the petitioners shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be admitted to interim bail on their furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioners shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that in pursuance of the order dated 20.12.2018, the petitioners have joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Raj Kumar submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation and they are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 20.12.2018, is made absolute.

The petition stands allowed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

05.02.2019

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No