

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-32386 of 2019 in/and  
CRM-M-8763 of 2018  
Date of Decision: 06.12.2019**

**Sardool Singh and others**

**..... Petitioners**

**versus**

**State of Punjab and another**

**..... Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH**

Present: Mr. Manbir Singh Basra, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Mr. B.S. Sewak, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

By this petition, the petitioners seek quashing of the FIR in question, on the basis of a compromise stated to have been arrived at between them and respondents no.2 and 3, with respondent no.2 being the complainant in the FIR and respondent no.3 being the other person who is stated to have received injuries in the occurrence.

As already recorded in the order dated 03.04.2019, the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Batala, dated 21.05.2018 (pursuant to the order of this court dated 01.03.2018), is on record, to the effect that respondents no.2 and 3 had suffered statements to the effect that the matter had in fact been compromised between the parties and that they had no objection if the FIR in question was quashed in these proceedings.

The statements of all the petitioners are also stated to have been recorded as regards the compromise reached between the parties.

However, thereafter on 23.10.2019, this court had directed learned State counsel to verify the death certificate of Hazara Singh, in whose house the occurrence is stated to have taken place, on account of the fact that this court had expressed surprise as to why no compromise was reached with him, with the alleged occurrence having taken place in his house (as per the case of the complainants).

Today, learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that it has been verified that Hazara Singh has actually died.

That being so, with the petitioners and respondents no.2 and 3 having arrived at a compromise with each other, looking at the nature of the offences alleged to have been committed as per the FIR, it would be pointless in my opinion, to continue with the criminal proceedings.

Consequently, the petition is allowed with FIR no.82, dated 04.07.2013, registered against the petitioners at Police Station Shri Hargobindpur, District Gurdaspur, for the alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections 324, 323, 341, 427, 452, 148, 149 of the IPC, along with all proceedings emanating therefrom, hereby quashed.

**December 06, 2019**  
**dinesh**

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether Reportable

Yes  
No.