

CRWP-2236-2019

{1}

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.111

CRWP-2236-2019

Date of Decision: 18.12.2019

SIMRANDEEP SINGH @ SIMRAN

....Petitioner

VS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ARCHANA PURI**

Present: MS. Bhupinder Pal Kaur Brar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has approached this Court under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking directions to respondent No.2 to consider the application moved by the petitioner.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Vivek Saini, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice. A complete copy of paper book has been handed over to the learned State Counsel, in the Court.

It is contended that the present application moved by the petitioner is not being decided by respondent No.2. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he will be satisfied, in case the directions are issued to respondent No.2 to decide the application (Annexure P-1) as per law. Cites "*Varun @ Gullu Vs. State of Haryana and others*", CRM-M-34013 of 2009 decided on 26.04.2010.

Heard.

Without adverting to the merits of the instant case, the

present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2, to take a final view in the matter in accordance with law and decide the case of the petitioner expeditiously, preferably, within a period of three weeks from today, by passing a speaking order.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

(ARCHANA PURI)
JUDGE

18.12.2019
Himanshu

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No