

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-15143-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 16.5.2019

Jasbir Kaur

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

Union of India and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.Salil Sabhlok, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr.BS Kanwar, Standing Counsel for UOI

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

CM-16974-CWP-2018

Inadvertently, in the application, it has been mentioned that the reply has been sought to be filed on behalf of respondent No.2- Union of India, whereas as per memo of parties, Union of India has been arrayed as respondent No.1. Reply on behalf of respondent No.1 is taken on record subject to all just exceptions. Registry is directed to make necessary correction in the application accordingly.

CWP-15143-2018

The prayer in the present petition is for accepting or rejecting the passport application submitted online by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner refers to Annexure P-1 to contend that the petitioner by filling up all the necessary particulars applied

online for passport alongwith the requisite fee. However, the respondents have taken the stand that the department has not received the record of the petitioner.

Heard.

Without going into the merits of the case and considering the fact that there is dispute only with regard to the submitting of the application, the present petition is disposed of with a direction that in case the petitioner makes another application for issuance of passport within four weeks from today, respondent No.2 shall initiate the necessary action as per law. As there is a *prima facie* evidence of payment of the requisite fee (Annexure P-1) for issuance of passport, the said application be entertained without the requisite fee for passport. The necessary exercise be completed expeditiously.

16.5.2019
gsv

[**JITENDRA CHAUHAN**]
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No