

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

215

CRM-M-47351 of 2018

Date of Decision: July 22, 2019

Harpreet Singh @ Happy

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, DAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Tarsem.

Mr. B.D. Sharma, Advocate
for the complainant.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

Petitioner-Harpreet Singh@Happy has prayed for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.61 dated 08.07.2018 under Sections 307, 324, 323, 427, 148, 149 of IPC and 25, 27 Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Gharinda, District Amritsar Rural.

The FIR was lodged on the statement of Swaran Singh that on 08.07.2018 when he along with his brother Pritpal Singh and Darshan Singh, after giving some information to the Police were returning to village Ranike on their vehicle Bolero and reached near Guru Teg Bahadur Gurudwara Sahib, where Angrej Singh, Harpreet Singh, Gurlal Singh, Sikander Singh, Manpreet Singh @ Manna, Kaku and Inderjit Singh, who were armed with revolver, datar, sotte and sticks stopped them. Angrej Singh raised lalkara to catch hold of them and to kill. Meanwhile, Harpreet Singh @ Happy-Petitioner, who was armed with revolver,

gave a straight bullet shot at Ranjit Singh with an intention to kill, which hit on his right thigh, Gurlal Singh @ Dalo gave a dattar blow from reverse side to Pritpal Singh and Sikandar Singh also gave dattar blow from reverse side to Pritpal Singh on his back and forehead, Kaku gave stick blow to Pritpal Singh which hit on his left arm and back, Inderjit Singh @ Malhi and Manpreet Singh @ Manna gave datar blow to Darshan Singh which hit Darshan Singh on his head and forehead. When the complainant raised the alarm, the accused with their respective weapons ran away from the spot. On these broad allegations, the FIR was registered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that Harpreet Singh @ Happy has been attributed simple injury to Ranjit Singh. He has further submitted that the other co-accused have already been released on bail. According to him the injured in the said occurrence have already been examined before the Court and still 22 witnesses remain to be examined. He contends that the petitioner is in custody for nearly one year.

On the other hand, the bail is opposed by the State counsel who is assisted by ASI Tarsem, on the ground that the offence is serious in nature. However, it is not disputed that complainant stands examined as witness.

Considering the above and the fact that the trial is likely to consume some time, further detention of the petitioner may not be justified. Therefore, without meaning any expression of opinion on the merits of the case, it is ordered that the petitioner be released on regular bail, subject to his furnishing requisite bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court, Amritsar.

The petition is allowed.

July 22, 2019
 jyoti3

[MANOJ BAJAJ]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No