

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*\*

**CWP-36316-2019**

***Date of Decision: 16.12.2019***

\*\*\*\*\*

Sudesh Kumar and another

. . . . . Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

. . . . . Respondents

\*\*\*\*\*

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN  
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present: - Mr.Vikram Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*\*

***RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)***

The grievance of the petitioners is that respondent No.2 (Commissioner Karnal Division, Karnal) has not decided their application for stay in on one way or the other whereas respondent No.5 (Gram Panchayat) is bent upon to demolish the house of the petitioners after the eviction order is passed under Section 7 of the Punjab Village Common Lands (Regulation) Act, 1961.

Counsel for the petitioners has, thus, prayed that this petition may be disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 (Commissioner Karnal Division, Karnal), who is seized of their application for stay, to prepone the date of hearing, on filing of an application by the petitioners and decide the same within a week.

The prayer of the petitioners is innocuous and logical. Therefore, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 (Commissioner Karnal Division, Karnal) to prepone the date of hearing in case any such application is filed by the petitioners and decide the application for stay within a period of one week from the date of preponing the date of hearing.

**(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)  
JUDGE**

**(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)  
JUDGE**

**16.12.2019**

*Vivek*

Whether speaking /reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether Reportable : Yes/No