

CR No. 2945 of 2018 and
RSA No. 3391 of 2018

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 2945 of 2018
Date of Decision: 05.04.2019

Kuldeep Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Shakuntla Devi and others

.....Respondents

RSA No. 3391 of 2018

Gurdip Singh and another

....Petitioner

vs.

Shakuntala Devi and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: None.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

When these matters, i.e. the appeal and the revision, had come up for hearing on 10.07.2018, the following order had been passed by a co-ordinate Bench:-

“After arguing for some time and realizing that the Court is not inclined to issue notice, learned counsel states that this is a dispute between aunt and nephew and the appellant-petitioner-nephew may be given one opportunity to have an amicable settlement.

Adjourned to 10.10.2018.

Photocopy of this order be placed on file of the connected cases.”

Thereafter, on 10.10.2018 learned counsel for the petitioner/appellants submitted that the parties were going to amicably settle the dispute between them, with the cases therefore adjourned to 11.12.2018 at that stage.

On that date, again, the same contention was made by Mr. Jain, learned counsel for the petitioner/appellants.

After that, none having appeared on 15.02.2019 as the Bar was abstaining from work after lunch, on March 26, 2019, a request for an adjournment was made on behalf of counsel for the petitioner/appellants.

Today, even on second call, with the matter having been taken up again in the post lunch session, none is present.

The appeal and the petition are therefore both dismissed-in-default.

April 05, 2019
nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes
No.