

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

108

Crl. Rev. No.1416 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision:- 08.02.2019

Sukhwinder Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Liaqat Ali, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that even though his client was directed vide order dated 9.1.2019, to pay 15% of the cheque amount within a period of seven days from the date of passing of the order, no instructions regarding the same have been received by him.

On 9.1.2019, the petitioner had been granted seven days' time to make payment of 15% of the cheque amount in accordance with the judgment of the Supreme Court in **Damodar S.Prabhu vs. Sayed Babalal, 2010(5) SCC 663.** This order was passed because vide order dated 13.12.2018, direction had been issued to him to make the requisite payment and his sentence has been suspended.

The orders aforementioned have not been complied with even two months later and, thus, the conduct of the petitioner dis-entitles him to any relief.

The petition is accordingly dismissed for want of prosecution.

February 08, 2019

poonam

(SUDHIR MITTAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

No